From:
 Skophammer, Stephanie

 To:
 Bradbury, Mike@DWR

 Cc:
 Erin Foresman

Subject: RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

**Date:** Wednesday, April 08, 2015 2:41:00 PM

## Hi Mike-

I can make this meeting and I'm putting it on my calendar for Friday. Can you let me know the call in number please?

Quick question- is the meeting to discuss Corps comments on the Admin Draft? To Erin you indicate not, but it seems that was the original intent. Let me know so I can be prepared.

Thanks! Stephanie

Stephanie Skophammer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Environmental Review Section (ENF-4-2) 75 Hawthorne St. San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3098

----Original Message-----From: Foresman, Erin

Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2015 1:59 PM

To: Bradbury, Mike@DWR Cc: Skophammer, Stephanie

Subject: RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

## Hi Mike,

Thanks for the message and invitation. I've cc'd Stephanie Skophammer here to see if she can make this meeting time. I can't make that time but perhaps Stephanie can join you by phone.

## Erin Foresman

US EPA | Environmental Scientist | SF Bay Delta C/O NMFS 650 Capitol Mall| Sacramento, CA 95814 916-930-3722|www.epa.gov/sfbaydelta

Schedule: M 7:30a - 4:00p; T - F 7:30a - 2:00p

----Original Message----

From: Bradbury, Mike@DWR [mailto:Mike.Bradbury@water.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 2:30 PM

To: Foresman, Erin

Subject: RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

## Hi Erin,

The date and time that works for most others for this meeting is April 10th, 1 to 2:30. Is there any way you can make that work for you and your team, or most of your team? This meeting is meant to be primarily a status update, and kick-off to a regular meeting to improve the EIS for Corps permissions; I don't plan to discuss specific comments, just the process to address them and all Corps issues. That said, we want the final EIS to cover both the Corps' and EPA's needs, so would like you and your team to attend this meeting.

Thank you,

Mike

Michael Bradbury

Program Manager II, BDCP Permitting

Department of Water Resources

901 P Street, Suite 411b, Sacramento, CA 95814 Cell (916) 207-0803 Office (916) 651-2987

----Original Message-----

From: Foresman, Erin [mailto:Foresman.Erin@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 1:45 PM

To: Bradbury, Mike@DWR

Cc: vendlinski.tim@epa.gov; Skophammer, Stephanie; Scianni, Melissa; Hagler, Tom@EPA; Jones, Paul; Brush,

Jason

Subject: RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

Hi Michael.

Thank you for including EPA in the scheduling of this meeting. Melissa Scianni from our Wetlands Regulatory Office, Stephanie Skophammer from our NEPA office, and I are available on the following dates and time windows.

Monday, April 13 8:00 AM - 3:00 pm is open Tuesday, April 15 8:00 AM - 2:00 pm is open

Erin Foresman

US EPA | Environmental Scientist | SF Bay Delta C/O NMFS 650 Capitol Mall| Sacramento, CA 95814 916-930-3722|www.epa.gov/sfbaydelta

Schedule: M 7:30a - 4:00p; T - F 7:30a - 2:00p

----Original Message----

From: Bradbury, Mike@DWR [mailto:Mike.Bradbury@water.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 06, 2015 1:55 PM

To: Nagy, Meegan@usace.army.mil; Simmons, Zachary M SPK; Michelle Banonis; Marc Ebbin

(mebbin@emsllp.com); Brian Plant (bplant@rmmenvirolaw.com); Karen Shaffer

(kshaffer@gibsonandskordal.com); Witzman, Jean@DWR; Bogdan, Kenneth M.@DWR

Cc: Michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil; Foresman, Erin; Ann Stine; Gardner, Chuck@HGCPM; Enos,

Cassandra@DWR

Subject: RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

Please let me know your availability on the following days for a BDCP EIS meeting:

Friday, April 10 Monday, April 13 Tuesday, April 14 Wednesday, April 15 Friday, April 17

Michael Bradbury

Program Manager II, BDCP Permitting

Department of Water Resources

901 P Street, Suite 411b, Sacramento, CA 95814 Cell (916) 207-0803 Office (916) 651-2987

----Original Message----

From: Nagy, Meegan G SPK [mailto:Meegan.G.Nagy@usace.army.mil]

Sent: Friday, April 03, 2015 3:51 PM

To: Bradbury, Mike@DWR; Simmons, Zachary M SPK; Michelle Banonis

Cc: Michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil; foresman.erin@epa.gov; Ann Stine; Gardner, Chuck@HGCPM; Enos,

Cassandra@DWR

Subject: RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

Mike,

I am unavailable on the 9th. Could you propose some alternate dates/times?

Thanks, Meegan

US Army Corps of Engineers - Sacramento District

Phone: 916-557-7257 Fax: 916-557-6877 Cell: 916-807-0025

----Original Message-----

From: Bradbury, Mike@DWR [mailto:Mike.Bradbury@water.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 5:06 PM

To: Simmons, Zachary M SPK; Michelle Banonis

Cc: Nagy, Meegan G SPK; Jewell, Michael S SPK; foresman.erin@epa.gov; Ann Stine; Gardner, Chuck@HGCPM;

Enos, Cassandra@DWR

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental

EIS

Zachary,

The DWR 404 team would like to meet with you, Meegan, and others you'd like to include, next Thursday if you are available. We'd like to integrate the USACE team into the EIS update process to the greatest extent possible. At the first meeting we'd like to go through your comments below, and give you a status report on our work to address them.

Please let me know if next Thursday, April 9 will work for you.

Thanks,

Mike

Michael Bradbury Program Manager II, BDCP Permitting Department of Water Resources 901 P Street, Suite 411b, Sacramento, CA 95814

Cell (916) 207-0803

Office (916) 651-2987

From: Simmons, Zachary M SPK [mailto:Zachary.M.Simmons@usace.army.mil]

Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 2:27 PM

To: Michelle Banonis

Cc: Nagy, Meegan@usace.army.mil; Michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil; Bradbury, Mike@DWR;

foresman.erin@epa.gov; Ann Stine

Subject: RE: Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

Hello Ms. Banonis.

Please see the following comments from the Corps for Section 2 for the Recirculated DEIR/Supplemental DEIS. If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact Meegan Nagy or myself.

- 1. We are unclear how our comments on the Draft EIR/EIS will be addressed in the supplemental Recirculated Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS (RDEIR/SDEIS). We recommend you prepare a response to comments table that shows us where and how our comments are being addressed in the RDEIR/SDEIS and schedule a meeting to specifically discuss the comment responses.
- 2. A conclusion of no significant impact was made for impacts to navigation In the Draft EIR/EIS. The Draft EIR/EIS did not include an adequate analysis or data to support this conclusion. We provided DWR with instructions and example of how the navigation analysis must be done, consistent with how we have been regulating DWR's operations of Clifton Court Forebay for the past 35 years. We also walked DWR through the needed analysis over a two hour meeting. Will the requested analysis on navigation be included in the RDEIR/SDEIS?
- 3. This document refers primarily to the analysis for Alternative 4 and 4A. All revisions and additional analyses must be completed at the same level for each of the proposed alternatives, including the no action alternative.
- 4. The RDEIR/SDEIS should include a statement somewhere in the introduction that this document is intended to meet the NEPA requirements for the USACE as a cooperating federal agency for permitting actions under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Sections 10 and 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Example language can be found in Section S.12.3 of the Summary for Volume 1 of the April 2012, Ca High-Speed Train Merced to Fresno Section Project EIR/EIS.
- 5. The following are specific comments on the administrative draft Section 2.
- a. Section 2.1.2: The last paragraph states that the environmental factors were found to be essentially unchanged as a result of changes in operations across alternatives. Is this referring to Alternative 4A compared to the previous alternatives, or all of the alternatives compared to the environmental baseline?
- b. Section 2.1.4: The RDEIR/SDEIS must not include the determination of "uncertain" for any impact. It is not clear if this was corrected for all impacts. A determination of significance should be made for each impact based on the available data. We request that Reclamation consult with this office if a NEPA determination of significance is unable to be made for a specific impact.
- c. Section 2.5: For any environmental commitments or mitigation that will alter a Federally authorized project, analysis should be included within the RDEIR/SDEIS to disclose impacts associated with those actions. These

commitments/mitigation measures are tied to the primary alteration therefore will need analysis and design prior to permitting decision being made on the intake structures.

- d. Section 2.5.1 references "complete water conveyance facility project footprints developed by DWR's Division of Engineering". A copy of these footprints should be provided to USACE to have a better understanding of the proposed facility in relation to the Federally authorized project.
- e. Section 2.5.4: The documents states that the projects described "have already undergone CEQA/NEPA review". USACE is unaware of completed NEPA review for restoration of the Southport area. The West Sacramento Levee Improvement Program Southport Project NEPA document is not yet final. The NEPA document addresses only the impacts associated with that project. The West Sacramento General Reevaluation Report EIS/EIR is also a draft document.
- f. Section 2.5.4: This section further states "accordingly provide meaningful examples of the activities that could result from implementation of CM3–CM11". While these may be examples, actual mitigation sites and the associated impacts should be included.
- g. Section 2.5.4.1: The Lower Yolo Ranch Tidal Restoration Project is referenced as an activity that could result from CM3-CM11. This restoration project is being done in response to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Delta Smelt BiOp (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2008) and as referenced in the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Salmonid BiOp (National Marine Fisheries Service 2009) for coordinated operations of the SWP and CVP. It is unclear if this project could be used to offset impacts related to the intakes and therefore may not be a good project to reference.
- h. Section 2.6: USACE has not received a copy of the March 2nd 2015 deliverable. Please provide a copy for our review.

Thank you,

Zachary M. Simmons

Biologist, Senior Regulatory Project Manager

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, Regulatory Division

1325 J Street, Room 1350, Sacramento, CA 95814

916-557-6746: FAX 916-557-7803

\*\*Customer Survey: <a href="http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm">http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm</a> apex/f?p=regulatory survey

\*\*For more information visit: <a href="http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx">http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx</a>

----Original Message-----

From: Stine, Ann [mailto:astine@usbr.gov]

Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 3:07 PM

To: Clark, Susan S SPK; Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Skophammer, Stephanie; Erin Foresman

Cc: Michelle Banonis; Theresa Olson; Mary Lee Knecht

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Federal Cooperating Agency Review for the BDCP Recirculated EIR/Supplemental EIS

All, as you know DWR has been working on the Administrative Draft BDCP REIR/SEIS and as Cooperating Agencies we are sending you preliminary sections for your review. DWR has added some new sections that describe the revisions for this next iteration of the BDCP EIR/EIS. Attached are portions of Section 2.0 that discuss Fish and Aquatic Habitat, Water Quality, Environmental Commitments and a revised project description, a total of 14 pages (4 pages are on water quality). In addition I have attached Section 1.0 which introduces the general approach to the recirculated BDCP for background information if needed. All edits/comments can be sent to me in track changes. These preliminary sections also contain placeholders and notes to reviewers from ICF which are denoted with highlighting and/or comment bubbles.

We received Section 2.0 this week and apologize for the short turn around but we would appreciate your comments by COB March 24 (next Tuesday). We will also request your review of the rest of the preliminary Administrative Draft REIR/SEIS which is expected to come out April 1. This too will have a short review period of a couple weeks. Please let me know if you have any questions. We appreciate your time and effort for this review. Ann

--

Ann Chrisney Stine

Natural Resource Specialist

Bay-Delta Office

801 I. St., Suite 140

Sacramento, California

(916) 414-2427